Pat Fitzpatrick[1], Sachin Bhate[1], Yee Lau[1], Valentine Anantharaj[1], and Suzanne Shean[2]
[1]Mississippi State University, Geosystems Research Institute, [2]Mississippi State University, Northern Gulf Institute

Caernarvon Diversion

Areas of Interest in Hopedale And Delacroix

Background

Landsat 5 depiction of Hopedale and Delacroix marsh pre-Katrina, October 20, 2003

The wetlands in coastal Louisiana have experienced substantial erosion since the 1930s. Mississippi River levees have deprived the region of land-sustaining sediments, and is the primary contributor to wetland loss. Man-made canals, faults activated by energy drilling, tropical cyclones, and sea-level rise have also accelerated this land loss. As water bodies enlarge, wave action has also contributed to the erosion. An additional feedback from this erosion is saltwater intrusion, which changes the local ecology and is hypothesized to devastate wetlands.

2QH WR RO IRU FRXQWHULQJ /RXLVLDQD¶V ZHWODQG HURVLRQ LV XVLQJ Wetlands Water Management, whereby sediment-laden river water is diverted into are land-building projects. Peak flow rates range from 8,000 to 50,000 cfs. Among them are the Caernarvon Fresh Water Diversion Project, on the upper Breton Sound east of the Mississippi River, which is a nourishment project opened in 1992 designed to alter salinity conditions. The goal of Caernarvon is to land among the 5 ppt and 15 ppt salinity lines back to historical averages (Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, 2006). Caernarvon dramatically changed the near-field ecosystem, with reduced salinities, increasing freshwater marsh plant coverage near the diversion, and growing intermediate marsh plants in the formerly brackish areas.

However, the altered landscape may not be resilient to hurricane storm surge. Hurricanes Gustav (2008), Ike (2008), and Katrina (2005) caused erosion of the Louisiana marshes (Barras 2006, 2007). The 2008 and 2005 hurricanes occurred within weeks of each other, and each area is treated as combined events. One area that experienced serious damage was the Delacroix region, particularly in the fresh and intermediate marsh regions near the Caernarvon diversion. The damage consisted of expanded ponds; compressed, rolled, or inverted marsh; scoured and denuded marsh; and shoreline erosion.

The goal of this research is to quantify the marsh degradation areas: 1) north of the Mississippi River Gulf Outlet (MRGO), known as the Biloxi marsh (consisting of intermediate and saltwater marsh); 2) the saline outer marsh of Delacroix near Black Bay; and 3) the interior Caernarvon freshwater marsh in Delacroix. This analysis is performed for pre-Katrina/Rita, pre-Katrina/Rita, and post-[XVWDY 1HZ 2UOHDQV $UHD IURP 12$$ *&$3 Program [GCAP], from the Coastal Services Center], and from the Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper (TM) satellite sensor. Interviews and a boat tour of the marsh were also conducted with Mr. Delacroix.
³%XGG\´ 0HOHULQH DQG KLV JUDQGVRQ 3KLOLS 0RQHV WZR FRPPHUFLDO ILVKHUPHQ

Methodology

The GCAP program provides a nationally standardized database on land cover and habitat change, typically in 5-year increments starting in 1996 (Dobson et al. 1995). A special dataset was also developed for pre- and post-Katrina. GCAP utilizes Landsat 5 and Landsat 7 TM scenes on days lacking clouds, haze, or extreme humidity, consisting of 23 satellite scenes. Landsat resolution is 30 m, sufficient for capturing marsh features. Land classification was determined from a Classification and Regression Tree (CART) scheme, then further refined by hand-editing. This resulted in 25 land attributes as shown in the top left figure.

Because no GCAP data was available post-[XVWDY 068 GHYHORSHG D PHWKRGRORJ\ WR LQFRUSRUDWH WKH VHYHUH ZHDWKHU ¶V LPSDFW RQ ‘HDFXUL[DQG H[DPLQH .DWULQD¶V LPSDFW DV GCAP data was unavailable for Katrina/Rita, Landsat 5 TM data were analyzed using ENVI software and then the TOA reflectance values were calculated (Chander et al. 2009). We then derived the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) where NDWI = (SWIR - Red) / (SWIR + Red) and NDVI = (NIR-Red) / (NIR + Red). The shortwave infrared (SWIR) channel (Band 5) exhibits a strong contrast between land and water features due to the high degree of absorption of infrared energy by water, even turbid water (Alesheikh et. al 2007). The near-infrared (NIR) channel is Band 4, and visible red channel is Band 3. The computed values of NDWI and NDVI, ranging between -1 and +1, were converted to digital numbers (DN) in the range of 0-255. The classification technique used was in the following sequential order: "1DWHU " ³1RW ZDWHU´ "1RW ZDWHU " ³9HJHWDWHG´ "1RW 9HJHWDWHG´ ³6SUHDGEDW\ ZDWHU´ "6SUHDGEDW\ land/not ZDWHU´ 2WKHU SL[HOV ZHUH XQFODVVLILHG DQG RIWHQ DVVRFLDWHG ZLWK FORXGV

Landsat 5 depiction of Hopedale and Delacroix marsh post-Gustav, September 2, 2009

However, producing a single composite dataset from multiple Landsat images is difficult. Pixel brightness values for classification schemes are affected by seasonal and annual phenological vegetation cycles; cloud coverage and cloud shadows; tide stage; water levels; sun angle; calibration issues; earth/sun distance; atmospheric conditions, and sun/target/sensor geometry (phase angle). Therefore, our approach consisted of qualitative quality control to remove dates with excessive cloud coverage. The data is then subsetted into 11 Areas of Interest (top left figure), and statistical significance tests are calculated for water coverage before and after Katrina and Gustav. Because the data is not normally distributed, the nonparametric Wilcoxon-rank test is used. Wilcoxon arranges two samples in ascending (descending) value orders, a rank is assigned to each value, and the ranks are added for each sample. The significance is then assessed (p-value) based on the size difference between the cumulative rankings. A small p value is generally interpreted as evidence against the null hypothesis, which is to reject the premise of no difference between samples. Generally, the following interpretations are used by statisticians as evidence against the null hypothesis: $ p-value > 0.15 is considered 9DOXH EHWZHHQ DQG LQFRQFOXVLYH (inconclusive), 0.05 < p-value < 0.15 is 0.001, convincing; and p-value < 0.001, very convincing. These four situations are tabulated as ^, *, **, and ***, respectively.

Results

Table 1 shows wetland erosion results based on GCAP data. The largest erosion rates [calculated as 100x(Pre-Post value)/Pre-value] from 1996-2005 occurred near the diversion in AOI-1 and AOI-2 of 14.5% and 20.9%, respectively. Additional notable 1996-2005 rates include: AOI-10, 8.1%; AOI-9, 3.9%; AOI-11, 3.9%; and AOI-8, 2.7%. Other regional changes were negligible. Katrina caused erosion throughout the region, but the biggest proportional changes were in the diversion area. AOI-1 changed from 13.5% to 52.5% water coverage, a 289.4% increase; and AOI-2 from 14.0% to 37.7% water coverage, a 168% increase. The intermediate marsh suffered degradation as well but not as large with AOI-9 from 56.1% to 68.4% water coverage (a 22.0% increase). Other regions ranged from 1% to 2% water coverage increase. 6H WR . DWULQD¶V LPSDFW

The MSU methodology shows similar results. The mean water coverage is shown in Table 2, but because the data contains regional values are different than Table 1. Histograms of these plots are attached to this poster. Its more appropriate to use the statistical significance tests to land-cover change (Table 3). Table 3 shows statistically significant changes to all diversion regions at a very convincing level. Also note that Hurricane Gustav caused the largest water percentage increase in AOI-1, AOI-2, and AOI-9. Because of scatter, the significance levels are not as high, but the areas closest to the diversion have the most relevance. An example of Landsat land-water classification is shown in the top right figures for Pre-Katrina and Post-Gustav. Note the increased open water and marsh signatures near the diversion region from both hurricanes.

These results suggest that the current Caernarvon implementation for land restoration may be flawed since it does not increase impacts. It is clear that regions near the diversion experienced large amounts of land loss relative to areas near Black Bay and north of MRGO after the 2005 and 2008 W 9SHFLHV FRPSRVLWLRQ KDVQ¶W EHFRPH GLYHUVH HQRXJK DQG FXUUHQW Y HORFLWLHV DUH QRW VORZ IORDWLQJ VSHFLHV LQVWHDG RI URRWHG SODQWV does it protect sediment, which then gets transported to the levee system as shown in-the top picture. The result is land loss, the opposite of its intended purpose. The primary cause is possibly the manipulation of nature through a narrow canal system instead of allowing a riverine system to flow overflow. Given enough time, saline-hardy, rooted freshwater vegetation may become established in western Delacroix with the Caernarvon diversion. However, the data in this region is 5-10 years for tropical storms, 6-10 years for Category 1 hurricanes, and 12-24 years for Category 3 hurricanes (National Hurricane Center 2010, Emanuel, Jagger 2010). Therefore, establishment of a hurricane-hardy wetlands in the freshwater marsh regions may not occur, and suggests that freshwater diversion could be re-engineered possibly into a multiple ³2HDN\ OHYHH´ FRQFHSW VXSSOHPHQWHG Creation. It is further noted that the Caernarvon diversion failed during Hurricane Katrina. Despite the failure of the diversion region. This work also suggests that the negative perception of saltwater intrusion in wetland restoration can be overcome. Certainly saltwater intrusion can have negative consequences, but we propose that an assessment of wetland resiliency is just as important before freshwater is introduced into an area. The Biloxi Marsh north of Hopedale is an example of a stable saltwater marsh environment that adjusted to habitat change from the MRGO.

Where did the land go during Katrina? Toward western Delacroix. This is the diversion canal where commercial and jackup boats sought safe-harbor. Its filled with vegetation and sediment !

Diversion canal today after dredging

References

Alesheikh, A. A., A. Ghorbanali, and N. Nouri, 2007. Coastline change detection using remote sensing. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech., 4, 61-66.
Barras, J. A., 2006. Land area changes in coastal Louisiana after the 2005 hurricanes—a series of three maps. USGS Open File Report 2006-1274. Also http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2006/1274
Barras, J. A., 2007. Land area changes in coastal Louisiana after Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Science and the storms: the USGS response to the hurricanes of 2005. USGS Circular 1306, 96-113. Also http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/1306/pdf/c1306
Dobson, J. E., E. A. Bright, R. L. Ferguson, D. W. Field, L. L. Wood, K. D. Haddad, J. Ireland, J. R. Jensen, V. V. Klemas, R. J. Orth, and J. P. Thomas, 1995: NOAA Coastal Change Analysis Program (C-CAP): Guidance for Regional Implementation, NOAA Technical Report NMFS 123, U.S. Department of Commerce, 92 pp.
Chander, G., B. L. Markham, and D. L. Helder, 2009. Summary of current radiometric calibration coefficients for Landsat MSS, TM, ETM+, and EO-1 ALI sensors. Remote Sensing Env., 113, 893-903.
Emanuel, K., and T. Jagger, 2010. On estimating hurricane return periods. J. Appl. Meteor. Clim., in press.
National Hurricane Center, 2010. Return periods. Available at http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/HAW2/english/basics/return_shtml
Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, 2006. Caernarvon freshwater diversion project annual report. 50 pp. Available at www.lacoast.gov/crm/coastres/project.asp?id=48

78-\HDU ROG ³%XGG\´ -Ung Odhner, a Cajun fisherman in Delacroix, gave detailed background information on the diversion impact during the interview process.

%XGG\¶V JUDQGVRQ 3KLOLS 0RQHV SURYLGHG D ERDW WRXU RI WKH DUHD Philip Wows a segment which used to be land that now he crabs on. This Is about 5 miles from the diversion, near AOI-1.